

A Gröbner basis approach for counting rational places in algebraic function fields

Kasper Halbak Christensen and Olav Geil
Aalborg University
Denmark

Meeting of the Catalan, Spanish, Swedish Math Societies
(CAT-SP-SW-MATH), Umeå, June 12th–15th, 2017

Function fields and rational places

Function field:

F a finite algebraic extension of $\mathbb{F}_q(X)$.

Valuation ring:

$\mathbb{F}_q \subsetneq \mathcal{O} \subsetneq F$ where $\forall z \in F$ we have $z \in \mathcal{O}$ or $z^{-1} \in \mathcal{O}$.

(Rational) place:

$P = \mathcal{O} \setminus \mathcal{O}^*$ is the unique maximal ideal of \mathcal{O} .

P is rational if $\mathcal{O}/P \simeq \mathbb{F}_q$.

Valuation: $\exists t$ such that $P = \langle t \rangle$. $\forall z \in F \setminus \{0\}$ we uniquely can write $z = t^n u$ where $u \in \mathcal{O}^*$.

$$v_P : \begin{cases} F \rightarrow \mathbb{Z} \cup \infty \\ v_P(z) = \begin{cases} n & \text{if } z = t^n u \\ \infty & \text{if } z = 0 \end{cases} \end{cases}$$

Function fields and rational places

Function field:

F a finite algebraic extension of $\mathbb{F}_q(X)$.

Valuation ring:

$\mathbb{F}_q \subsetneq \mathcal{O} \subsetneq F$ where $\forall z \in F$ we have $z \in \mathcal{O}$ or $z^{-1} \in \mathcal{O}$.

(Rational) place:

$P = \mathcal{O} \setminus \mathcal{O}^*$ is the unique maximal ideal of \mathcal{O} .

P is rational if $\mathcal{O}/P \simeq \mathbb{F}_q$.

Valuation: $\exists t$ such that $P = \langle t \rangle$. $\forall z \in F \setminus \{0\}$ we uniquely can write $z = t^n u$ where $u \in \mathcal{O}^*$.

$$v_P : \begin{cases} F \rightarrow \mathbb{Z} \cup \infty \\ v_P(z) = \begin{cases} n & \text{if } z = t^n u \\ \infty & \text{if } z = 0 \end{cases} \end{cases}$$

Function fields and rational places

Function field:

F a finite algebraic extension of $\mathbb{F}_q(X)$.

Valuation ring:

$\mathbb{F}_q \subsetneq \mathcal{O} \subsetneq F$ where $\forall z \in F$ we have $z \in \mathcal{O}$ or $z^{-1} \in \mathcal{O}$.

(Rational) place:

$P = \mathcal{O} \setminus \mathcal{O}^*$ is the unique maximal ideal of \mathcal{O} .

P is rational if $\mathcal{O}/P \simeq \mathbb{F}_q$.

Valuation: $\exists t$ such that $P = \langle t \rangle$. $\forall z \in F \setminus \{0\}$ we uniquely can write $z = t^n u$ where $u \in \mathcal{O}^*$.

$$v_P : \begin{cases} F \rightarrow \mathbb{Z} \cup \infty \\ v_P(z) = \begin{cases} n & \text{if } z = t^n u \\ \infty & \text{if } z = 0 \end{cases} \end{cases}$$

Let P be a rational place.

\mathcal{L} -space:

$$\mathcal{L}(nP) = \{z \in F \mid v_P(z) \geq -n, \text{ and } v_Q(z) \geq 0, \forall Q \neq P\}$$

$$\mathcal{L}(\infty P) = \bigcup_{n \geq 0} \mathcal{L}(nP)$$

Weierstrass semigroup:

$$\Lambda_P = -v_P(\mathcal{L}(\infty P)) = \langle w_1, \dots, w_m \rangle.$$

Genus (an invariant of F):

$$g = \#(\mathbb{N}_0 \setminus \Lambda_P).$$

Let P be a rational place.

\mathcal{L} -space:

$$\mathcal{L}(nP) = \{z \in F \mid v_P(z) \geq -n, \text{ and } v_Q(z) \geq 0, \forall Q \neq P\}$$

$$\mathcal{L}(\infty P) = \bigcup_{n \geq 0} \mathcal{L}(nP)$$

Weierstrass semigroup:

$$\Lambda_P = -v_P(\mathcal{L}(\infty P)) = \langle w_1, \dots, w_m \rangle.$$

Genus (an invariant of F):

$$g = \#(\mathbb{N}_0 \setminus \Lambda_P).$$

The maximal number of rational places

$N(F) = \#$ rational places in F .

$g(F) =$ the genus of F .

$N_q(g) = \max\{N(F) \mid F \text{ a function field over } \mathbb{F}_q \text{ with } g(F) = g\}$.

Application in coding theory. Ensures high information rate while still allowing for error-correction.

The maximal number of rational places

$N(F) = \#$ rational places in F .

$g(F) =$ the genus of F .

$N_q(g) = \max\{N(F) \mid F \text{ a function field over } \mathbb{F}_q \text{ with } g(F) = g\}$.

Application in coding theory. Ensures high information rate while still allowing for error-correction.

The maximal number of rational places

$N(F) = \#$ rational places in F .

$g(F) =$ the genus of F .

$N_q(g) = \max\{N(F) \mid F \text{ a function field over } \mathbb{F}_q \text{ with } g(F) = g\}$.

Application in coding theory. Ensures high information rate while still allowing for error-correction.

The objective

Data base with known information on $N_q(g)$ at manypoints.org

Hasse-Weil bound:

$$|N(F) - (q + 1)| \leq 2g\sqrt{q}$$

But also bounds in **terms of a Weierstrass semigroup of F** rather than genus:

If $\Lambda = \langle w_1, \dots, w_m \rangle$ then

$$N(F) \leq |\Lambda \setminus \cup_{i=1}^m (qw_i + \Lambda)| + 1.$$

Or bounds using **partial information on semigroup:**

$$N(F) \leq qw_1 + 1.$$

The objective

Data base with known information on $N_q(g)$ at manypoints.org

Hasse-Weil bound:

$$|N(F) - (q + 1)| \leq 2g\sqrt{q}$$

But also bounds in terms of a Weierstrass semigroup of F rather than genus:

If $\Lambda = \langle w_1, \dots, w_m \rangle$ then

$$N(F) \leq |\Lambda \setminus \cup_{i=1}^m (qw_i + \Lambda)| + 1.$$

Or bounds using partial information on semigroup:

$$N(F) \leq qw_1 + 1.$$

The objective

Data base with known information on $N_q(g)$ at manypoints.org

Hasse-Weil bound:

$$|N(F) - (q + 1)| \leq 2g\sqrt{q}$$

But also bounds in **terms of a Weierstrass semigroup of F** rather than genus:

If $\Lambda = \langle w_1, \dots, w_m \rangle$ then

$$N(F) \leq |\Lambda \setminus \cup_{i=1}^m (qw_i + \Lambda)| + 1.$$

Or bounds using **partial information on semigroup**:

$$N(F) \leq qw_1 + 1.$$

The objective

Data base with known information on $N_q(g)$ at manypoints.org

Hasse-Weil bound:

$$|N(F) - (q + 1)| \leq 2g\sqrt{q}$$

But also bounds in **terms of a Weierstrass semigroup of F** rather than genus:

If $\Lambda = \langle w_1, \dots, w_m \rangle$ then

$$N(F) \leq |\Lambda \setminus \cup_{i=1}^m (qw_i + \Lambda)| + 1.$$

Or bounds using **partial information on semigroup:**

$$N(F) \leq qw_1 + 1.$$

A particular simple example

Consider $\mathbb{F}_q = \mathbb{F}_{t^2}$ and $g = \frac{t(t-1)}{2}$.

Hasse-Weil says:

$$N_q(g) \leq 2 \frac{t(t+1)}{2} t + t^2 + 1 = t^3 + 1.$$

$\Lambda = \langle t, t+1 \rangle$ has genus $\frac{t(t-1)}{2}$.

Hermitian function field has Λ as Weirstrass semigroup for P where $\mathcal{L}(\infty P) = \mathbb{F}_q[X, Y]/\langle X^{t+1} - Y^t - Y \rangle$.

The affine variety of $\langle X^{t+1} - Y^t - Y, X^q - X, Y^q - Y \rangle$ is of size t^3 .

Conclusion: The Hermitian function field has $t^3 + 1$ rational places.

Therefore, $N_q(g) = t^3 + 1$ for $g = \frac{t(t-1)}{2}$.

A particular simple example

Consider $\mathbb{F}_q = \mathbb{F}_{t^2}$ and $g = \frac{t(t-1)}{2}$.

Hasse-Weil says:

$$N_q(g) \leq 2 \frac{t(t+1)}{2} t + t^2 + 1 = t^3 + 1.$$

$\Lambda = \langle t, t+1 \rangle$ has genus $\frac{t(t-1)}{2}$.

Hermitian function field has Λ as Weirstrass semigroup for P where $\mathcal{L}(\infty P) = \mathbb{F}_q[X, Y] / \langle X^{t+1} - Y^t - Y \rangle$.

The affine variety of $\langle X^{t+1} - Y^t - Y, X^q - X, Y^q - Y \rangle$ is of size t^3 .

Conclusion: The Hermitian function field has $t^3 + 1$ rational places.

Therefore, $N_q(g) = t^3 + 1$ for $g = \frac{t(t-1)}{2}$.

A particular simple example

Consider $\mathbb{F}_q = \mathbb{F}_{t^2}$ and $g = \frac{t(t-1)}{2}$.

Hasse-Weil says:

$$N_q(g) \leq 2 \frac{t(t+1)}{2} t + t^2 + 1 = t^3 + 1.$$

$\Lambda = \langle t, t+1 \rangle$ has genus $\frac{t(t-1)}{2}$.

Hermitian function field has Λ as Weirstrass semigroup for P where $\mathcal{L}(\infty P) = \mathbb{F}_q[X, Y] / \langle X^{t+1} - Y^t - Y \rangle$.

The affine variety of $\langle X^{t+1} - Y^t - Y, X^q - X, Y^q - Y \rangle$ is of size t^3 .

Conclusion: The Hermitian function field has $t^3 + 1$ rational places.

Therefore, $N_q(g) = t^3 + 1$ for $g = \frac{t(t-1)}{2}$.

The general problem of estimating $N_q(g)$

Lower bounds on $N_q(g)$ are established by determining and studying new function fields.

Methods are involved: algebraic geometry and function field theory.

The idea in the present project:

To use insight on simplified description of $\mathcal{L}(\infty Q)$ in combination with computer search to say something about $N_q(\Lambda)$ or $N_q(g)$ when possible.

The general problem of estimating $N_q(g)$

Lower bounds on $N_q(g)$ are established by determining and studying new function fields.

Methods are involved: algebraic geometry and function field theory.

The idea in the present project:

To use insight on simplified description of $\mathcal{L}(\infty Q)$ in combination with computer search to say something about $N_q(\Lambda)$ or $N_q(g)$ when possible.

Background

In coding theory one only uses $R = \mathcal{L}(\infty P)$ (or the generalization to more places), but only seldom the function field $\text{Quot}(R)$.

Høholdt, van Lint, Pellikaan and Miura introduced the concept of order domains to obtain:

- ▶ simplified understanding of $\mathcal{L}(\infty P)$ and corresponding codes
- ▶ generalizations to structures of higher transcendence degree.

Miura and Pellikaan (and G) showed that finitely generated order domains R (over \mathbb{F}_q) are equivalent to:

- ▶ quotient rings $\mathbb{F}_q[X_1, \dots, X_m]/I$ where I satisfies certain Gröbner basis theoretical properties.

Matsumoto showed that for transcendence degree 1 (semigroups being numerical):

- ▶ $R \subseteq \mathcal{L}(\infty P)$ with equality if the “curve” is non-singular.
- ▶ The number of rational places equals the number of affine roots of I over \mathbb{F}_q plus 1.

Background

In coding theory one only uses $R = \mathcal{L}(\infty P)$ (or the generalization to more places), but only seldom the function field $\text{Quot}(R)$.

Høholdt, van Lint, Pellikaan and Miura introduced the concept of order domains to obtain:

- ▶ simplified understanding of $\mathcal{L}(\infty P)$ and corresponding codes
- ▶ generalizations to structures of higher transcendence degree.

Miura and Pellikaan (and G) showed that finitely generated order domains R (over \mathbb{F}_q) are equivalent to:

- ▶ quotient rings $\mathbb{F}_q[X_1, \dots, X_m]/I$ where I satisfies certain Gröbner basis theoretical properties.

Matsumoto showed that for transcendence degree 1 (semigroups being numerical):

- ▶ $R \subseteq \mathcal{L}(\infty P)$ with equality if the “curve” is non-singular.
- ▶ The number of rational places equals the number of affine roots of I over \mathbb{F}_q plus 1.

Background

In coding theory one only uses $R = \mathcal{L}(\infty P)$ (or the generalization to more places), but only seldom the function field $\text{Quot}(R)$.

Høholdt, van Lint, Pellikaan and Miura introduced the concept of order domains to obtain:

- ▶ simplified understanding of $\mathcal{L}(\infty P)$ and corresponding codes
- ▶ generalizations to structures of higher transcendence degree.

Miura and Pellikaan (and G) showed that finitely generated order domains R (over \mathbb{F}_q) are equivalent to:

- ▶ quotient rings $\mathbb{F}_q[X_1, \dots, X_m]/I$ where I satisfies certain Gröbner basis theoretical properties.

Matsumoto showed that for transcendence degree 1 (semigroups being numerical):

- ▶ $R \subseteq \mathcal{L}(\infty P)$ with equality if the “curve” is non-singular.
- ▶ The number of rational places equals the number of affine roots of I over \mathbb{F}_q plus 1.

Background

In coding theory one only uses $R = \mathcal{L}(\infty P)$ (or the generalization to more places), but only seldom the function field $\text{Quot}(R)$.

Høholdt, van Lint, Pellikaan and Miura introduced the concept of order domains to obtain:

- ▶ simplified understanding of $\mathcal{L}(\infty P)$ and corresponding codes
- ▶ generalizations to structures of higher transcendence degree.

Miura and Pellikaan (and G) showed that finitely generated order domains R (over \mathbb{F}_q) are equivalent to:

- ▶ quotient rings $\mathbb{F}_q[X_1, \dots, X_m]/I$ where I satisfies certain Gröbner basis theoretical properties.

Matsumoto showed that for transcendence degree 1 (semigroups being numerical):

- ▶ $R \subseteq \mathcal{L}(\infty P)$ with equality if the “curve” is non-singular.
- ▶ The number of rational places equals the number of affine roots of I over \mathbb{F}_q plus 1.

The order domain conditions (trdg=1)

Weighted degree ordering \prec_w on monomials in X_1, \dots, X_m :

$$X_1^{i_1} \cdots X_m^{i_m} \prec_w X_1^{j_1} \cdots X_m^{j_m} \text{ if}$$

- ▶ either $w_1 i_1 + \cdots + w_m i_m < w_1 j_1 + \cdots + w_m j_m$
- ▶ or $w_1 i_1 + \cdots + w_m i_m = w_1 j_1 + \cdots + w_m j_m$, but $X_1^{i_1} \cdots X_m^{i_m} \prec X_1^{j_1} \cdots X_m^{j_m}$, where \prec is a second fixed monomial ordering (for example lexicographic)

An ideal $I \subseteq \mathbb{F}[X_1, \dots, X_m]$ is said to satisfy the order domain conditions if:

- ▶ There exists a Gröbner basis $\{F_1, \dots, F_s\}$ for I with respect to \prec_w such that every F_i possesses (exactly) two monomials of highest weight in its support.
- ▶ For the set of monomials which are NOT leading monomials of any polynomial in I , no two have the same weight.

The order domain conditions (trdg=1)

Weighted degree ordering \prec_w on monomials in X_1, \dots, X_m :

$$X_1^{i_1} \cdots X_m^{i_m} \prec_w X_1^{j_1} \cdots X_m^{j_m} \text{ if}$$

- ▶ either $w_1 i_1 + \cdots + w_m i_m < w_1 j_1 + \cdots + w_m j_m$
- ▶ or $w_1 i_1 + \cdots + w_m i_m = w_1 j_1 + \cdots + w_m j_m$, but $X_1^{i_1} \cdots X_m^{i_m} \prec X_1^{j_1} \cdots X_m^{j_m}$, where \prec is a second fixed monomial ordering (for example lexicographic)

An ideal $I \subseteq \mathbb{F}[X_1, \dots, X_m]$ is said to satisfy the order domain conditions if:

- ▶ There exists a Gröbner basis $\{F_1, \dots, F_s\}$ for I with respect to \prec_w such that every F_i possesses (exactly) two monomials of highest weight in its support.
- ▶ For the set of monomials which are NOT leading monomials of any polynomial in I , no two have the same weight.

Our approach

Given $\Lambda = \langle w_1, \dots, w_m \rangle$

We start by establishing a minimal **Gröbner basis for the binomial ideal**

$$I_w = \langle X_1^{i_1} \cdots X_m^{i_m} - X_1^{j_1} \cdots X_m^{j_m} \mid w_1 i_1 + \cdots + w_m i_m = w_1 j_1 + \cdots + w_m j_m \rangle$$

with respect to the weighted degree ordering. Elimination via:

$$\langle T^{w_1} - X_1, T^{w_2} - X_2, \dots, T^{w_m} - X_m \rangle$$

The above description satisfies the order domain conditions...but we only have q affine points ($q + 1$ rational places). Hence, the next step is to try to add more terms (of lower weight) in such a way that the polynomials still constitute a Gröbner basis.

For principal ideals, i.e. $\Lambda = \langle w_1, w_2 \rangle$ we can add anything!!!

Our approach

Given $\Lambda = \langle w_1, \dots, w_m \rangle$

We start by establishing a minimal **Gröbner basis for the binomial ideal**

$$I_w = \langle X_1^{i_1} \cdots X_m^{i_m} - X_1^{j_1} \cdots X_m^{j_m} \mid w_1 i_1 + \cdots + w_m i_m = w_1 j_1 + \cdots + w_m j_m \rangle$$

with respect to the weighted degree ordering. Elimination via:

$$\langle T^{w_1} - X_1, T^{w_2} - X_2, \dots, T^{w_m} - X_m \rangle$$

The above description satisfies the order domain conditions...but we only have q affine points ($q + 1$ rational places). Hence, the next step is to try to add more terms (of lower weight) in such a way that the polynomials still constitute a Gröbner basis.

For principal ideals, i.e. $\Lambda = \langle w_1, w_2 \rangle$ we can add anything!!!

Our approach

Given $\Lambda = \langle w_1, \dots, w_m \rangle$

We start by establishing a minimal **Gröbner basis for the binomial ideal**

$$I_w = \langle X_1^{i_1} \cdots X_m^{i_m} - X_1^{j_1} \cdots X_m^{j_m} \mid w_1 i_1 + \cdots + w_m i_m = w_1 j_1 + \cdots + w_m j_m \rangle$$

with respect to the weighted degree ordering. Elimination via:

$$\langle T^{w_1} - X_1, T^{w_2} - X_2, \dots, T^{w_m} - X_m \rangle$$

The above description satisfies the order domain conditions...but we only have q affine points ($q + 1$ rational places). Hence, the next step is to try to add more terms (of lower weight) in such a way that the polynomials still constitute a Gröbner basis.

For principal ideals, i.e. $\Lambda = \langle w_1, w_2 \rangle$ we can add anything!!!

Binomial ideals (with Ali Sepas):

Example:

$\Lambda = \langle 3, 5, 7 \rangle$. We investigate weighted degree orderings according to weights $w_1 = 3$, $w_2 = 5$, $w_3 = 7$, with the second ordering being lexicographic.

Both $X \succ_{lex} Y \succ_{lex} Z$ and $X \succ_{lex} Z \succ_{lex} Y$ give GB with 6 polynomials:

$$\{Y^7 - Z^5, XZ - Y^2, XY^5 - Z^4, X^2Y^3 - Z^3, X^3Y - Z^2, X^4 - YZ\}$$

All other choices of lexicographic part give GB with 4 polynomials.

For instance both $Z \succ_{lex} X \succ_{lex} Y$ and $Z \succ_{lex} Y \succ_{lex} X$ give:

$$\{X^5 - Y^3, ZY - X^4, ZX - Y^2, Z^2 - X^3Y\}.$$

Binomial ideals (with Ali Sepas):

Example:

$\Lambda = \langle 3, 5, 7 \rangle$. We investigate weighted degree orderings according to weights $w_1 = 3$, $w_2 = 5$, $w_3 = 7$, with the second ordering being lexicographic.

Both $X \succ_{lex} Y \succ_{lex} Z$ and $X \succ_{lex} Z \succ_{lex} Y$ give GB with 6 polynomials:

$$\{Y^7 - Z^5, XZ - Y^2, XY^5 - Z^4, X^2Y^3 - Z^3, X^3Y - Z^2, X^4 - YZ\}$$

All other choices of lexicographic part give GB with 4 polynomials.

For instance both $Z \succ_{lex} X \succ_{lex} Y$ and $Z \succ_{lex} Y \succ_{lex} X$ give:

$$\{X^5 - Y^3, ZY - X^4, ZX - Y^2, Z^2 - X^3Y\}.$$

From binomial ideal to $\mathcal{L}(\infty P)$

If binomials are successfully modified to other polynomials $\{F_1, \dots, F_s\}$ satisfying the order domain conditions, then we:

- ▶ Check if $1 \in \langle F_i, \frac{\partial F_i}{\partial X_j} \mid 1 \leq i \leq s \text{ and } 1 \leq j \leq m \rangle$ in which case $\mathcal{L}(\infty P) = \mathbb{F}_q[X_1, \dots, X_m] / \langle F_1, \dots, F_s \rangle$.
- ▶ Determine the number of affine points by establishing a Gröbner basis for $\langle F_1, \dots, F_s, X_1^q - X_1, \dots, X_m^q - X_m \rangle$ and by using the footprint bound.

From binomial ideal to $\mathcal{L}(\infty P)$

If binomials are successfully modified to other polynomials $\{F_1, \dots, F_s\}$ satisfying the order domain conditions, then we:

- ▶ Check if $1 \in \langle F_i, \frac{\partial F_i}{\partial X_j} \mid 1 \leq i \leq s \text{ and } 1 \leq j \leq m \rangle$ in which case $\mathcal{L}(\infty P) = \mathbb{F}_q[X_1, \dots, X_m] / \langle F_1, \dots, F_s \rangle$.
- ▶ Determine the number of affine points by establishing a Gröbner basis for $\langle F_1, \dots, F_s, X_1^q - X_1, \dots, X_m^q - X_m \rangle$ and by using the footprint bound.

From binomial ideal to $\mathcal{L}(\infty P)$

If binomials are successfully modified to other polynomials $\{F_1, \dots, F_s\}$ satisfying the order domain conditions, then we:

- ▶ Check if $1 \in \langle F_i, \frac{\partial F_i}{\partial X_j} \mid 1 \leq i \leq s \text{ and } 1 \leq j \leq m \rangle$ in which case $\mathcal{L}(\infty P) = \mathbb{F}_q[X_1, \dots, X_m] / \langle F_1, \dots, F_s \rangle$.
- ▶ Determine the number of affine points by establishing a Gröbner basis for $\langle F_1, \dots, F_s, X_1^q - X_1, \dots, X_m^q - X_m \rangle$ and by using the footprint bound.

The footprint bound

By definition, a **Gröbner basis** for I is a generating set $\{F_1, \dots, F_s\}$ such that if $F \in I$ then $\text{lm}(F)$ is divisible by some $\text{lm}(F_i)$.

By definition, the **footprint** of an ideal with respect to a monomial ordering is the set of monomials that are not leading monomial of any polynomial in I . Is easily read of from the Gröbner basis.

The footprint bound: If the footprint is finite, then the size of the corresponding affine variety is at most equal to the size of the footprint.

If \mathbb{F} is perfect and I contains a square free univariate polynomial in each variable then equality holds.

Hence, we consider the footprint of $I + \langle X_1^q - X_1, \dots, X_m^q - X_m \rangle$.



The footprint bound

By definition, a **Gröbner basis** for I is a generating set $\{F_1, \dots, F_s\}$ such that if $F \in I$ then $\text{Im}(F)$ is divisible by some $\text{Im}(F_i)$.

By definition, the **footprint** of an ideal with respect to a monomial ordering is the set of monomials that are not leading monomial of any polynomial in I . Is easily read of from the Gröbner basis.

The footprint bound: If the footprint is finite, then the size of the corresponding affine variety is at most equal to the size of the footprint.

If \mathbb{F} is perfect and I contains a square free univariate polynomial in each variable then equality holds.

Hence, we consider the footprint of $I + \langle X_1^q - X_1, \dots, X_m^q - X_m \rangle$.



The footprint bound

By definition, a **Gröbner basis** for I is a generating set $\{F_1, \dots, F_s\}$ such that if $F \in I$ then $\text{lm}(F)$ is divisible by some $\text{lm}(F_i)$.

By definition, the **footprint** of an ideal with respect to a monomial ordering is the set of monomials that are not leading monomial of any polynomial in I . Is easily read of from the Gröbner basis.

The footprint bound: If the footprint is finite, then the size of the corresponding affine variety is at most equal to the size of the footprint.

If \mathbb{F} is perfect and I contains a square free univariate polynomial in each variable then equality holds.

Hence, we consider the footprint of $I + \langle X_1^q - X_1, \dots, X_m^q - X_m \rangle$.



The footprint bound

By definition, a **Gröbner basis** for I is a generating set $\{F_1, \dots, F_s\}$ such that if $F \in I$ then $\text{lm}(F)$ is divisible by some $\text{lm}(F_i)$.

By definition, the **footprint** of an ideal with respect to a monomial ordering is the set of monomials that are not leading monomial of any polynomial in I . Is easily read of from the Gröbner basis.

The footprint bound: If the footprint is finite, then the size of the corresponding affine variety is at most equal to the size of the footprint.

If \mathbb{F} is perfect and I contains a square free univariate polynomial in each variable then equality holds.

Hence, we consider the footprint of $I + \langle X_1^q - X_1, \dots, X_m^q - X_m \rangle$.

Preliminary results

- ▶ The bound $N_q(\langle w_1, w_2 \rangle) \leq \min\{qw_1 + 1, q^2 + 1\}$ is often sharp, but not always.
- ▶ New study: Lower bounds on the minimal number of rational places
- ▶ For more generators w_1, \dots, w_m the method needs to be refined to be efficient.
- ▶ Maybe one should start with a minimal generating set of polynomials (not GB), add lower terms and first then calculate GB. (For calculation of minimal generating sets see: Chap. 4 of Assi and García-Sánchez, “Numerical Semigroups and Applications,” Springer 2016).
- ▶ ...or...we have other ideas.

Preliminary results

- ▶ The bound $N_q(\langle w_1, w_2 \rangle) \leq \min\{qw_1 + 1, q^2 + 1\}$ is often sharp, but not always.
- ▶ New study: Lower bounds on the minimal number of rational places
- ▶ For more generators w_1, \dots, w_m the method needs to be refined to be efficient.
- ▶ Maybe one should start with a minimal generating set of polynomials (not GB), add lower terms and first then calculate GB. (For calculation of minimal generating sets see: Chap. 4 of Assi and García-Sánchez, “Numerical Semigroups and Applications,” Springer 2016).
- ▶ ...or...we have other ideas.

Preliminary results

- ▶ The bound $N_q(\langle w_1, w_2 \rangle) \leq \min\{qw_1 + 1, q^2 + 1\}$ is often sharp, but not always.
- ▶ New study: Lower bounds on the minimal number of rational places
- ▶ For more generators w_1, \dots, w_m the method needs to be refined to be efficient.
- ▶ Maybe one should start with a minimal generating set of polynomials (not GB), add lower terms and first then calculate GB. (For calculation of minimal generating sets see: Chap. 4 of Assi and García-Sánchez, “Numerical Semigroups and Applications,” Springer 2016).
- ▶ ...or...we have other ideas.

Preliminary results

- ▶ The bound $N_q(\langle w_1, w_2 \rangle) \leq \min\{qw_1 + 1, q^2 + 1\}$ is often sharp, but not always.
- ▶ New study: Lower bounds on the minimal number of rational places
- ▶ For more generators w_1, \dots, w_m the method needs to be refined to be efficient.
- ▶ Maybe one should start with a minimal generating set of polynomials (not GB), add lower terms and first then calculate GB. (For calculation of minimal generating sets see: Chap. 4 of Assi and García-Sánchez, “Numerical Semigroups and Applications,” Springer 2016).
- ▶ ...or...we have other ideas.

Preliminary results

- ▶ The bound $N_q(\langle w_1, w_2 \rangle) \leq \min\{qw_1 + 1, q^2 + 1\}$ is often sharp, but not always.
- ▶ New study: Lower bounds on the minimal number of rational places
- ▶ For more generators w_1, \dots, w_m the method needs to be refined to be efficient.
- ▶ Maybe one should start with a minimal generating set of polynomials (not GB), add lower terms and first then calculate GB. (For calculation of minimal generating sets see: Chap. 4 of Assi and García-Sánchez, “Numerical Semigroups and Applications,” Springer 2016).
- ▶ ...or...we have other ideas.

Two generators






Maximal number of rational places (exhaustive search except for *)

$\Lambda \backslash q$	2	3	4	8	9
$\langle 2, 3 \rangle$	5	7	9	13	16
$\langle 2, 5 \rangle$	5	7	9	17	19
$\langle 2, 7 \rangle$	5	7	9	17	19
$\langle 3, 4 \rangle$	5	10	13	21*	28
$\langle 2, 9 \rangle$	5	7	9	17	19
$\langle 3, 5 \rangle$	5	10	13	—	—
$\langle 4, 5 \rangle$	5	10	17	—	—

Two generators

Minimal number of rational places (exhaustive search except for *)

$\Lambda \backslash q$	2	3	4	8	9	16	27	32
$\langle 2, 3 \rangle$	1	1	1	5	4	9*	19*	25*
$\langle 2, 5 \rangle$	1	1	1	1	1	8*	19*	25*
$\langle 3, 4 \rangle$	1	1	1	2*	—	—	—	—

-  T. Høholdt, J. van Lint and R. Pellikaan, “Algebraic Geometry Codes,” Chapter 10 in *Handbook of Coding Theory*, (V. S. Pless and W. C. Huffman eds), Vol. 1, Elsevier, 1998, pp. 871–961
-  S. Miura, “Linear Codes on Affine Algebraic Varieties,” *Trans. IEICE*, Vol. J81-A, 1998, pp. 1386-1397 (in Japanese).
-  R. Matsumoto, “Miura’s Generalization of One-Point AG codes is Equivalent to Høholdt, van Lint and Pellikaan’s Generalization,” *IEICE Trans. Fundamentals*, Vol. E82-A, 1999, pp. 2007-2010
-  R. Matsumoto and S. Miura, “On Construction and Generalization of Algebraic Geometry Codes,” *textitProc. of Algebraic Geometry, Number Theory, Coding Theory and Cryptography*, Univ. of Tokyo, January 19-20, 2000, (Ed. T. Katsura et al.), 2000, pp. 3-15
-  R. Pellikaan, “On the existence of order functions,” *Journal of Statistical Planning and Inference*, Vol. 94, 2001, pp. 287–301



O. Geil and R. Pellikaan, "On the structure of order domains,"
Finite Fields and Appl., Vol. 8, 2002, pp. 369–396.